

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,929	06/19/2007	Makoto Minakata	31721-236974	5775
²⁶⁶⁹⁴ VENABLE LLI	7590 02/07/2008 P	·	EXAM	INER .
P.O. BOX 34385 WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998			LEPISTO, RYAN A	
WASHINGTOR	N, DC 20043-9998	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2883	· · · · · · · · ·
•	· .			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•							
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/594,929	MINAKATA, MAKOTO				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ryan Lepisto	2883				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING INSIDE IT IN THE MAILING IN THE MAILING IN THE MAILING IT IN THE MAILING IN THE MAILI	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr te, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. Etimely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 L	December 2007.	•				
2a)[This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
,	Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 5</u> is/are rejected.						
'=	Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 September 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	· ·	eived in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bures See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ivod				
	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not rece	iveu.				
Attachme							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma					
3) 🔯 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 9/29/06.	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 9/26/06 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the references BA-BE referred to therein have not been considered.

Election/Restrictions

Claim 4 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 12/27/07.

Claim Objections

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the mixing". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification refers to the claims (page 4), but since claims can be dynamic, reference to them in the specification should be either removed or care should be taken to update the specification when/if the claims are amended. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number:

10/594,929 Art Unit: 2883

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoo (US 5,434,700) in view of Bhat et al (US 5,796,902) (Bhat).

Yoo teaches an image wavelength conversion device (Figs. 1, 2, 4) wherein a 1x4 array of quasi-phase-matching frequency generating optical waveguides (40 shown in Figs. 4) having constant openings and are aligned in a array with an incident plane (where the light enters) and an exit plane (where the light exits) wherein incident light (ω_1) , excitation light (ω_p) are incident on the waveguides (40) on an incident end to generate an output light (ω_1) at the exit end taught by Yoo as being difference frequency generation and image receiving means (14) for receiving the output light (column 3 lines 35-50, column 5 lines 35-50).

Yoo does not teach expressly sum frequency generation $((\lambda_1)^{-1} + (\lambda_2)^{-1} = (\lambda_3)^{-1})$.

Bhat teaches the same individual quasi-phase-matching waveguide (Fig. 1) but also teaches that this structure can be used for difference or sum frequency generation (column 10 lines 43-55).

Yoo and Bhat are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, image wavelength conversion devices.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the teaching of Bhat to employee the identical structure of Yoo as a sum frequency generation optical waveguide.

The motivation for doing so would have been to be able to use the device as a tunable coherent source over a wide wavelength range because of the interaction of the incident and excitation source (Bhat, column 10 line 65 - column 11 line 3).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: This claim would be allowable over the prior art of record if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims because the latter, either alone or in combination, does not disclose nor render obvious an image wavelength conversion device as described in claim 1 in combination with the incident light being most preferable an infrared light of 3.5 µm, an excitation light of 0.8 µm and an output light of 0.65 µm, in combination with the rest of the claimed limitations.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following references all teach either quasi-phase-matching sum frequency generating optical waveguides or a system using them in image wavelength conversion:

US 5028107

US 5036220

US 5295218

US 5341449

US 5504616

US 5732177

US 5815307

US 5912910

US 6445491 B2

US 6806986 B2

US 2006/0109542 A1

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan Lepisto whose telephone number is (571) 272-1946. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on (571) 272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/RAL/ Ryan Lepisto

Art Unit 2883

Frank Font

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Frank & Font

Technology Center 2800